

## 1 Rings and fields

**Definition 1.1.** A *ring* is a triple  $(R, +, \cdot)$  consisting of a set  $R$  and two binary operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  on  $R$ , such that (i)  $(R, +)$  is an abelian group (with identity element  $0_R$ ); (ii)  $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ ; (iii)  $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$  and  $(x + y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ .  $R$  is a *ring with 1* if there is an element  $1_R \in R$  such that  $1_R \cdot x = x = x \cdot 1_R$  for all  $x \in R$ .  $R$  is *commutative* if  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .  $\square$

We will assume all rings have 1, unless otherwise stated. It is easily proven from the axioms that  $1_R$  is unique,  $0_R \cdot x = 0_R = x \cdot 0_R$  and  $-x = (-1_R) \cdot x$  for all  $x \in R$ . We usually assume without mention that  $0_R \neq 1_R$ , which is the case unless  $R = \{0_R\}$ . We will usually drop the  $\cdot$  and write  $xy$  for  $x \cdot y$ .

**Definition 1.2.** An element  $x \in R$  is a *unit* if there exists  $y \in R$  such that  $xy = yx = 1_R$ .  $\square$

The set of units of  $R$  is denoted  $U(R)$ ; it is a group under  $\cdot$ .  $0_R$  is not a unit (assuming  $0_R \neq 1_R$ ). If  $x \in U(R)$ , the element  $y$  satisfying  $xy = 1_R = yx$  is uniquely determined by  $x$ , and is denoted  $x^{-1}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A *division ring* is a ring satisfying  $U(R) = R - \{0_R\}$ . A *field* is a commutative division ring.  $\square$

Examples of rings:

- $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mathbb{C}$ , the usual addition and multiplication; the latter three are fields.
- $\mathbb{Z}_n$  under addition and multiplication modulo  $n$ ; these are commutative rings;  $U(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  consists of the residue classes  $k$  for which  $k$  and  $n$  are relatively prime, hence  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a field if and only if  $n$  is prime.
- the set  $M_n(R)$  of all  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in a ring  $R$ , with addition and multiplication of such  $n \times n$  matrices defined using the usual formulas for matrices with real entries - this is a non-commutative ring, even if  $R$  is commutative. The group of units  $U(M_n(R))$  consists of the invertible  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $R$ , and is denoted  $GL_n(R)$ , called the *general linear group* of  $R$ .
- the set  $R[x]$  of polynomials in one variable  $x$  and coefficients in a ring  $R$ ; if  $R$  is commutative this is a commutative ring.
- the set  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of polynomials in variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and coefficients in a ring  $R$ ; if  $R$  is commutative this is a commutative ring.

- the set  $\mathbb{C}z$  of convergent power series in one complex variable  $z$  is a ring under addition and multiplication of power series. This is a commutative ring.

There is a famous division ring called *the quaternions*<sup>1</sup>  $\mathbb{H}$ , (for W.R. Hamilton, who invented or discovered them), consisting of the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^4$  with basis labelled  $1, i, j, k$  and multiplication defined as in the quaternion group  $Q_8$ , and extended linearly. In particular  $ij = k = -ji$  so  $\mathbb{H}$  is not a field. A well-known theorem of Wedderburn states that any finite division ring is a field.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $G$  a group. The *group ring* of  $G$  over  $R$  is the set  $R[G]$  of finite “linear combinations”  $\sum_{g \in G} gc_g$ , where  $c_g \in R$  for  $g \in G$  (and  $c_g = 0_R$  for all but finitely many  $g$ ), with addition defined by “combining like terms” and multiplication defined using the multiplication in  $G$  and extending linearly.  $\square$

The group ring  $R[G]$  is a ring with 1, which is commutative if and only if  $G$  is abelian. A more formal definition of  $R[G]$  will be given in the next section.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $R$  be a ring. The *trace* of a matrix  $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n(R)$  is  $\text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.6.** *Suppose  $R$  is commutative. For any  $A, B \in M_n(R)$ ,  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$ .*

*Proof.* By definition of matrix multiplication, the  $(i, j)$  entry of  $AB$  is  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}b_{kj}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(AB) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}b_{ki} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ki}a_{ik} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik}a_{ki} \\ &= \text{tr}(BA), \end{aligned}$$

by interchange of the order of summation (and re-indexing).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.7.** *Suppose  $R$  is commutative. If  $A \in M_n(R)$  and  $P \in M_n(R)$  is a unit, then  $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(P^{-1}AP)$ .*

*Proof.* By the previous theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(P^{-1}AP) &= \text{tr}(P^{-1}(AP)) \\ &= \text{tr}((AP)P^{-1}) \\ &= \text{tr}(A). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

---

<sup>1</sup>see [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History\\_of\\_quaternions](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_quaternions)

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $R$  be a ring. A *subring* of  $R$  is an additive subgroup  $S$  of  $R$  satisfying  $xy \in S$  for all  $x, y \in S$ . A *right ideal* of  $R$  is a subring  $I$  satisfying the stronger requirement  $xr \in I$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $r \in R$ . A (*two-sided*) *ideal* of  $R$  is a right ideal  $I$  of  $R$  that satisfies the additional requirement  $rx \in I$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $r \in R$ .

Examples of subrings and ideals:

- $\mathbb{Z}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ . None of these are (right) ideals.
- for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the subgroup  $n\mathbb{Z}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an ideal.
- if  $S$  is a subring (resp., ideal) of  $R$ , then  $M_n(S)$  is a subring (resp., ideal) of  $M_n(R)$ .

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings. A *ring homomorphism* of  $R$  to  $S$  is a homomorphism  $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$  of the underlying abelian groups that satisfies  $(xy)\varphi = (x)\varphi(y)\varphi$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

**Theorem 1.10.** If  $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$  is a ring homomorphism, then  $\ker(\varphi)$  is a two-sided ideal of  $R$  and  $\text{im}(\varphi)$  is a subring of  $S$ .  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $I$  be a two-sided ideal of  $R$ . Then the quotient abelian group  $R/I$  has a well-defined multiplication defined by  $(I+x)(I+y) := I+xy$ , making  $R/I$  into a ring, called the *quotient of  $R$  by  $I$* .

**Theorem 1.11.** (*1<sup>st</sup> isomorphism theorem for rings*) If  $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$  is a ring homomorphism, then  $\varphi$  induces an isomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}: R/\ker(\varphi) \rightarrow \text{im}(\varphi)$ .  $\square$

## 2 Modules and vector spaces

Let  $R$  be a ring (with 1).

**Definition 2.1.** A (*right*)  $R$ -*module* is an abelian group  $M$  equipped with a “scalar” multiplication operation  $\cdot: M \times R \rightarrow M$ , denoted  $(x, r) \mapsto x \cdot r$ , satisfying (i)  $(x+y) \cdot r = x \cdot r + y \cdot r$ , (ii)  $x \cdot (r+s) = x \cdot r + x \cdot s$ , and (iii)  $(x \cdot r) \cdot s = x \cdot (rs)$ , for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $r, s \in R$ .  $M$  is *unital* if, in addition, (iv)  $x \cdot 1_R = x$  for all  $x \in M$ . If  $R$  is a field, a unital (right)  $R$ -module is called a (right)  $R$ -*vector space*.  $\square$

Examples of  $R$ -modules:

- every abelian group  $M$  has a natural structure as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, with  $x \cdot n$  defined to equal  $nx$ , for  $x \in M$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- if  $R$  is a ring, then the cartesian product  $R^n$  has a natural structure as an  $R$ -module, with addition and scalar multiplication defined coordinate-wise just as in the familiar special case of the real vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This is called the *free  $R$ -module of rank  $n$* .
- if  $G$  is a group,  $\mathbb{k}$  is a field, and  $\mathcal{X}: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{k}); g \mapsto (g)\mathcal{X}$  is a homomorphism (i.e.,  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $\mathbb{k}$ -*representation* of  $G$ ), then the  $\mathbb{k}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{k}^n$  has the structure of a  $\mathbb{k}[G]$ -module, with scalar multiplication defined by

$$v \cdot \left( \sum_{g \in G} gc_g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} v((g)\mathcal{X}c_g),$$

for  $v \in \mathbb{k}^n$  identified with a  $1 \times n$  (row) matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{k}$ . Conversely, any  $\mathbb{k}[G]$ -module structure on  $\mathbb{k}^n$  determines a  $\mathbb{k}$ -representation of  $G$ , using the fact that  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{k})$  is a subset of the ring  $M_n(\mathbb{k})$ , via

$$(g)\mathcal{X} = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \cdot g \\ \vdots \\ e_n \cdot g \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $e_i$  is the row matrix with 1 in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column and 0's elsewhere. Here we use the ring structure. The defining properties of the module structure are equivalent to the homomorphism property of  $\mathcal{X}$  together with the distributive and associative properties of matrix multiplication.

**Exercise 2.2.** Assume  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $\mathbb{k}$ -representation of  $G$  and prove that  $\mathbb{k}^n$  is a  $\mathbb{k}[G]$ -module under the scalar multiplication defined above.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathbb{k}$  be a field. A  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra is a  $\mathbb{k}$ -vector space  $A$  which also has the structure of a ring, satisfying (i)  $(v \cdot \lambda)w = (vw) \cdot \lambda$  and (ii)  $v(w \cdot \lambda) = (vw) \cdot \lambda$ , for all  $v, w \in A$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$ .

If