



College of Engineering, Forestry & Natural Sciences

Abstract



Abdulrahman Almuflih, Andrew Perryman, Caizhi Ming, Ruoheng Pan, Za

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Northern Arizona University

SAE Baja competition is a international competition in which student teams from many universities compete in a series of events designed to test the Baja vehicle to its limits. Student teams must engineer and build a single seat off-road vehicle. It must be able to traverse rugged terrain like rough roads or steep hills while offering the upmost level of safety for the occupant. A group of 15 students from NAU is participating in this competition at University of Texas, El Paso in late April. Three five person teams have designed the frame, drivetrain, and suspension. Our team is responsible to design the drivetrain with the engine provided by SAE. The drive-train must meet the expectations in the acceleration, traction, maneuverability, and endurance events. Our final design employs a continuously variable transmission (CVT) and a differential for reverse. It can provide high torques and fast acceleration while maintaining durability.

Problem Formulation

The main objective of this project is to design and build a Baja vehicle that meets the client and stakeholders requirements and needs. The main goal of the drive-train is to have a max speed of 30 mph, a max torque of 290 lbft and also easy to drive. The team decided to use a CVT and a differential. The engine is provided by SAE. The CVT makes the driver driving without shifting. A differential will be connected to the shift cable for reverse ability. The throttle control system uses the throttle cable and the gas panel to control the engine's throttle.

Proposed Design

The final design of the drivetrain system meets all our goals and objectives. The Baja will still operate using the Briggs and Stratton 10 horse power engine in connection with CVTech-AAB's CVT. The CVT have a low ratio of 0.43:1 and a high ratio of 3:1. The shifting system includes a differential, a shifting cable and a shifting box. Dana Spicer H-12 FNR differential provides the vehicle with a forward ration of 13.25:1 and a reverse ratio of 14.36:1. The differential is connected by the shifting cable and then to the shifter box. The throttle control system uses the throttle cable and the gas panel to control the engine's throttle. Drive-train are assembled on a engine mount.





Figure 1: Finite Element Analysis

Figure 2: Final Assembly for Drivetrain

| Engine | Torque output | CVT | Total | Torque on wheel | Speed | |
|--------|---------------|-------|--------|-----------------|-------|--|
| rpm | (lb-ft) | ratio | ratio | (lb-ft) | (mph) | |
| 1800 | 13.20 | 2.082 | 24.278 | 320.467 | 5.06 | |
| 2000 | 13.70 | 1.899 | 22.137 | 303.282 | 6.17 | |
| 2200 | 14.10 | 1.715 | 19.997 | 281.956 | 7.51 | |
| 2400 | 14.30 | 1.531 | 17.856 | 255.347 | 9.17 | |
| 2600 | 14.45 | 1.348 | 15.716 | 227.096 | 11.29 | |
| 2800 | 14.52 | 1.164 | 13.576 | 197.117 | 14.08 | |
| 3000 | 14.50 | 0.981 | 11.435 | 165.809 | 17.90 | |
| 3200 | 14.40 | 0.797 | 9.295 | 133.843 | 23.49 | |
| 3400 | 14.20 | 0.614 | 7.154 | 101.590 | 32.43 | |







Baja SAE Drive-train

Numerical Results

The table tells us that at about 3400 rpm, the speed will be about 32 mph, which is what we want in the competition. The table below shows the max torque we can get on the wheel is 320 lb-ft, which meets our goals. Table 1. Torque and speed calculation

Prototype Fabrication

Figure 3: Differential with Mount

Figure 7: Drip Pan

Figure 8: CVT guard



Figure 4: Gas Pedal



Figure 6: Shift Cable Lock



Figure 9: Drivetrain assembly

Testing and Results

116 teams had participated the SAE mini baja competition at UTEP. As a new team, the NAU mini baja team past the technical check and participated all the sub-events in the competition, including endurance, suspension, hill climb, land maneuverability, acceleration, sale presentation and design presentation. Our baja was not been able to finish the last event which is 4 hours endurance race. The shifter had a small issue after 8 laps and fixed quickly. The most was serious failure happened after 17 laps, the welding that connecting top piece and side wall of engine mount broke. The baja then lost power because the CVT belt is not tight enough to transfer the power. Although the team welded it again and got the baja back to race, it only last half lap and then been towed out.

Cost Analysis

For the SAE Mini Baja competition as a competing team we are required to create and present a Sales Presentation to a hypothetical manufacturing company. This imaginary company is prospecting to produce a Mini Baja at 4000 units per year. The team assumed that out of 365 days this company would only be producing units for 261 days of the year. With these two criteria established we were able to create the following tables. In Table 3, because the system incorporates a differential, the manufacturing hours required per day were reduced to 30.75 hours. This is 30% more efficient than the original estimate. This equates to approximate savings of \$350 per day just in labor. The improved system uses a Dana differential, causing the price to rise significantly. Luckily, this product was donated to us, thus or budget rose but the system was actually more cost effective. Though there were slight increases, the decrease in cost in all other areas still outweighs the increase in parts cost. Thus, our system was not only optimized to become more efficient and simplistic, but is cost effective as well.

| Part Half | | Shaft | | Keys | | lours per Unit | Hours per Day | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------|-----------|---------------|----------|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|
| Individual | .65 Hours | | .25 Hours | | | | | | |
| Drive Shaft | 1.3 H | Iours | .75 Hours | | 2. | 2.05 Hours | | 30.75 Hours | |
| | - - | Table | e 4. Bu | udget for Dri | vetra | ain | | | |
| | Price(\$) | | Quantity | | Comments | | Total | | |
| Engine | | 200 | | 1 | | Ship fee | | 200 | |
| Differential | | 1000 | | 1 | | Dana | | 1000 | |
| СVТ | | 250 | | 1 | | CV-Tech | | 100 | |
| Key | | 5 | | 4 | | | | 20 | |
| Half-shaft | | 260 | | 2 | | Polaris | | 520 | |
| Shipping | | 200 | | | | Fed Ex | | 200 | |
| Total Pric | | | | | | | 2040 | | |

| Part | Half Shaft | | Keys | | Hours per Unit | | Hours per Day | | | |
|--------------------|------------|-----------|--------------------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|------------------|-------|--|--|
| Individual | .65 Hours | | .25 Hours | | | | | | | |
| Drive Shaft | 1.3 Hours | | .75 Hours | | 2.05 Hours | | 30.75 Hours | | | |
| | | Table | Table 4. Budget for Drivetrain | | | | | | | |
| | | Price(\$) | | Quantity | | Comments | | Total | | |
| Engine | | 200 | | 1 | | Ship fee | | 200 | | |
| Differential | | 1000 | | 1 | | Dana | | 1000 | | |
| СVТ | | 250 | | 1 | | CV-Tech | | 100 | | |
| Key | | 5 | | 4 | | | | 20 | | |
| Half-shaft | | 260 | | 2 | | Polaris | | 520 | | |
| Shipping | | 200 | | | | Fed Ex | | 200 | | |
| Total Price | | | | | | | | 2040 | | |

| Table 2: Result | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Events | Ranking (out of 116 teams) | | | | | | |
| Endurance | 46th | | | | | | |
| Suspension & Traction | 56th | | | | | | |
| Hill Climb | 58th | | | | | | |
| Land | 27th | | | | | | |
| Acceleration | 64th | | | | | | |
| Sale | 17th | | | | | | |
| Design | 45th | | | | | | |
| Cost | 69th | | | | | | |
| Overall | 51th | | | | | | |

| | Table 5. Bill of Materials | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|-------|------|----------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|--|
| Half shaftsEngineCVTDifferentialKey | | | | | | | ey | Total | | | | | |
| | 1,040,0 | 000 | 979,9 | 80 | 500,00 | 0 | 2,000,000 | | | 5836 4 | | ,525,816 | |
| | Table 6. Total Estimated Man Hours | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total work units | TotalCompleteTotalworkunits perperunitsday | | | al hours r person | N | lumber of labors | Hr pe pei | s per rson : day | Hour Wag (\$) | ·ly ge | Total cost of labors (\$) | |
| | 4000 15 20 | | | 2086 | | 8 | | 8 | 26 | | 433,888 | | |
| | Conclusions | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Our team along with the Frame team worked throughout the winter break and have come up with an optimized design where a gear box and secondary reduction system are replaced by a single differential. This was a step off the board from our original design but prevailed well. The updated concept not only simplified our design but reduced the weight drastically while still achieving our intended goals. As a result, the team was able to order many parts and move on to more simplistic but important tasks such as a throttle design and shifting mechanism. These strides allowed us to make up some lost ground and produce our design on schedule and perform at the competition in El Paso Texas. End up with 51th place out of 116 teams.

References

Continuously

[1] Kluger, M & Long, D. An Overview of Current Automatic, Manual and Variable Transmission Efficiencies and Their Projected Future Improvements, SAE

1999-01-1259.

[2] Richard Budynas, and J Keith Nisbett. Mechanical Engineering Design. 9th ed. 1021. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2011. Print. [3] Marcelo de Jeus R, da nobrega & Souza Xavier Leydervan de, et al. Modeling and Simulation of the Transmission System-Dynamic of a System equipped with a CVT for Mini-Baja vehicle. SAE Technical paper series. Sao Paulo: SAE Brasil, 2004. 5. Print. [4] 2014 Collegiate Design Series: Baja SAE®Rules

http://www.sae.org/students/2014_baja_rules_8-2103.pdf

Acknowledgements

ACEFNS, ASNAU, Babbitt Ford Lincoln, Bill G. Bennett, Copper State Nut and Bolt, CVTech, Imo Fab 316 Motor Works, Industrial Metal Supply, KC Hilites, Northland Motorsports, Page Steel, Polaris, Sabic, Schafer Gear Works Inc.

| n | Ζ | h | U |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |